Approved For Release 2004/64EG ROTATRDP80M01082A000200130004-6

IRAC-M-7 20 May 1974

INTELLIGENCE RESOURCES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM	FOR	THE	INTELLIGENCE	RESOURCES	ADVISORY		
COMMITTEE							

SUBJECT: Draft Minutes of the 20 May 1974

IRAC Meeting

1. The attached draft minutes of the 20 May Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee meeting are submitted herewith for IRAC consideration.

IRAC ACTION REQUESTED

sted to advise the Se	cretariat (Code
usiness 10 June of th	neir approval or
inutes.	
- 0	
	25X1
Executive Secre	tary
c	Executive Secre

Attachment

25X1



SECRET Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 EGR RD 80M01082A000200130004-6

IRAC-M-7 20 May 1974

INTELLIGENCE RESOURCES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of
Seventh Meeting
Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee
DCI Conference Room (7D64)
Central Intelligence Agency, at 1400 hours, 20 May 1974

Director of Central Intelligence Mr. W. E. Colby Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

- Mr. William McAfee, acting for Director of Intelligence and Research,
 Department of State
- Dr. Albert C. Hall, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Intelligence),
 Department of Defense
- Mr. John D. Iams, acting for Deputy Director of Central Intelligence
- Mr. B. A. Bridgewater, Jr., Associate Director for National Security and International Affairs, Office of Management and Budget

OBSERVERS PRESENT

Mr. Richard T. Kennedy, Deputy Assistant to the President for NSC Planning Vice Admiral Vincent P. de Poix, USN, Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Lieutenant General Lew Allen, Jr., USAF, Director, National Security Agency

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/642/3 ROTATRDP80M01082A000200130004-6

IRAC-M-7 20 May 1974

25X1

1. DCI Objectives of the Intelligence Community for FY 1975

The subject Objectives with a draft letter to the President were distributed at the IRAC meeting. Mr. Colby explained that they were responsive to the President's letter of 22 March. He said that the President had indicated that he wished to have the FY-1975 Objectives by early June. The Chairman pointed out that the Objectives were broken down into two categories; "Substantive Objectives" and "Resource Management Objectives", noting that they were quite general in order to be appropriate for the President. The general Objectives are in turn supplemented by a series of sub-Objectives particularly for the resource management items. In the case of the "Substantive Objectives" the KIQs are to serve as the sub-Objectives. In addition to the Objectives to be forwarded to the President, Mr. Colby included Milestones for achievement of individual Objectives and sub-Objectives. With regard to the "Substantive Objectives", Mr. Colby commented that some things had to be excluded, but those that are included will be the main driving force for judging how the Intelligence Community performs in FY 1975.

In response to a question by Admiral de Poix, Mr. Colby said that this year it would be necessary to issue the FY 75 KIQs before the FY 74 KEP is completed. Admiral de Poix's question stemmed from his concern about the relationship between DIA's Critical Near Term Defense Intelligence Objectives and the KIQs. Chairman, IRAC Working Group, remarked that one of the reasons for moving ahead with the FY 75 KIQs was to insure the compatability between the two sets of priorities.

Said it was the unanimous recommendation of the Working Group that these Objectives serve in lieu of specific IRAC Management Objectives (USIB/IRAC-D-74.2/2, 14 December 1973). The IRAC agreed.

Approved For Release 2004/04/13: CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6

IRAC-M-7 20 May 1974

Mr. Colby said he was offering the Objectives for comment both to IRAC and USIB. He welcomed recommendations for changes or additions and requested that any comments be forwarded by 28 May 1974.*

2. Progress Report on IRAC
Working Group Activities
(IRAC-D-74.4/1, 13 May 1974,
Limited Distribution through
Restricted Channels)

25X1

noted that the IRAC, at its 6 March 1974 meeting, assigned two tasks to the Working Group:

- a. Identifying and monitoring issues studies which would impact on the FY 1976 program;
- b. Review of a proposal for cross-program resource studies.

To accomplish this, two sub-groups of the IRAC Working Group were established. DoD chaired the Issues Sub-Group and the IC Staff chaired the Cross-Program Resource Studies Sub-Group. A progress report on the former effort was circulated to the IRAC as the reference as requested by the IRAC at the 6 March Meeting.

^{*}The draft Objectives of the Intelligence Community for FY 1975 along with the draft letter to the President were circulated to USIB Principals and IRAC Members as USIB/IRAC-D-22.1/14, 20 May 1974.

Approved For Release 2004/04/13: CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6

IRAC-M-7 20 May 1974

During the discussion of the schedule, Mr. Colby indicated that he wished to meet individually with major program managers in order to prepare himself in connection with his responsibility to provide the President with the National Foreign Intelligence Program Recommendations (NFIPR). Mr. Colby invited Dr. Hall to join him at the sessions with the individual program managers. Following the individual meetings, the overall program will be reviewed by the IRAC.

Mr. Bridgewater said that he agreed with the sequence as outlined in the schedule but suggested that the date indicated for submission of the NFIPR to the President on 5 December was not critical. Dr. Hall said that the schedule provided good general target dates and agreed with the relationships indicated. It was generally agreed that the schedule proceed as indicated noting that some target dates may be difficult to meet, especially in July and November.

During the briefing also addressed the working group task to study the idea of cross-program resource packages as a useful way for IRAC Members to view the total national intelligence program. He said that the Working Group believed that the concept was sound and that it should continue in its development of data and methodology. The major view of the Working Group, however, was that specific cross-program packages should not be developed until later in the year because of the impacts on other ongoing efforts, which would be serious particularly in view of the fact that special data calls would be required to do in-depth studies. One example of both the possible value and the difficulty in terms of data requirements of cross-program packages was a study prepared by the IC Staff on telemetry processing requirements and capabilities (see Item 5, below).

then went on to say that while existing data bases could not support special studies that attempt to link requirements to resources to end product, there are some useful things one can do with them. Specifically, existing data bases can be manipulated to provide greater visibility for the IRAC to important cross-program aspects of the National Intelligence Program that are not apparent in the normal program by program reviews.

25X1

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm SECRET} \\ {\rm Approved\ For\ Release\ 2004/04/13:CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6} \end{array}$

IRAC-M-720 May 1974

25X1	also gave examples of several simple techniques	
	that can be used to show relationships between DCID $1/2$, product and the amount of resources applied as derived from the Consolidated	
	Intelligence Resource Information System (CIRIS). In response to Dr. Hall,	
25V1	said that this presentation provided no conclusions but	
25X1	could serve as a basis for further inquiry. He added that the intent here	
	was to illustrate the possibilities and if the IRAC thought it useful he	
	would pursue this approach. It was the sense of the IRAC that such an	
	approach was useful. The IRAC agreed that specific cross-program	
	resource package studies should be deferred until later in the year.	
	3. Oral Status Report by Chairman,	
	IRAC Information Working Group	
	(Ref: IRAC-M-6, 6 March 1974,	
	Item 6)	
25X1	Chairman, IRAC Information Working Group, pro-	
	vided a briefing on the status of that Group's efforts. A copy of his out-	
	line is enclosed (Attachment 2). In the course of the discussion, CIRIS	
	was described as the only data base of its kind and not considered overly	
	burdensome. Mr. Colby said that we are still experimenting on what	
	can be drawn from CIRIS. The Information Working Group should proceed	0.514
	along the lines presented inbriefing with a view to im-	25X′
	proving the CIRIS.	
	4. Presentation of Financial Plans	
	(Ref: IRAC-D-74.3/1, 14 December	
	1973, "IRAC Quarterly Reviews")	
	a. DIA on GDIP/SRV	25X ²
		23/
	On behalf of Admiral de Poix, Rear Admiral Cramer provided	¬
	a briefing on the financial review of the GDIP. A copy of 4	
	presentation, together with briefing aids, are available in the IRAC	
	Secretariat.	

Approved For Release 2004/04/13: CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6

IRAC-M-7 20 May 1974

b. NSA on APS

General Allen provided a briefing on the financial review of the Advance Program Support (APS). During his briefing General Allen explained that this program should be viewed in context with its companion program. He said that it had been reviewed with the Consolidated Cryptologic Program (CCP) and in the future it will be considered in the EXCOM. A copy of General Allen's briefing aids is available in the IRAC Secretariat.

5. Telemetry Processing Requirements
and Capabilities in the 1975-1980

Time Frame
(USIB/IRAC-S-9.8/5, 20 May 1974,
Limited Distribution through Restricted
Channels)

provided a brief summary on the development of the subject study which was distributed at the IRAC meeting. It was agreed that the study be circulated to IRAC and USIB for information at this time and referred to the SIGINT and GMAIC Committees of USIB for appropriate action.* Results of the SIGINT and GMAIC review will be forwarded to USIB and IRAC.

Executive Secretary

25X1

Adjournment: 1600 hours

Attachments a/s

*The IC Staff will consult with the SIGINT and GMAIC Committees regarding Terms of Reference on those follow-on studies recommended in the Telemetry Study. The IC Staff will also follow-up on those recommendations not specifically referred to the two USIB committees.

- 8 -SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6 $\mathrm{IRAC}\text{-}M\text{-}$

20 May 1974

SECRETARY'S NOTE

Approval of Minutes 6 March 1974 Meeting (IRAC-M-6)

On 27 March 1974 all IRAC members telephonically approved the subject minutes.

IRAC-M-7

Approved For Release $\underbrace{\textbf{2004/04/13}}: \text{CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6} 74$

FY 1976 Resource Review Schedule Attachment 1

CY 1974

January	
4	DepSecDef Issued Program/Budget Review Schedule for Calendar 1974
February	
11	INR Preliminary Estimates for FY 1976 Submitted to State Department
22	DOD Issuance of Planning & Programming Guidance
March	
6	IRAC Meeting
21	ASD(I) Issuance of Intelligence Planning & Programming Guidance
April	
6	ExCom Meeting
15	DIRNSA Issued CCP Objectives Proposal
May	
10	Service Submissions to FY 75 R&D Apportionment Review
17	R&D Apportionment Decisions - OSD/OMB/DDR&E/ASD(I)/IC Staff/PMs
17	POM Submissions
20	IRAC Meeting
June	
11-18	Apportionment Hearings and Decisions
15-30	Internal Program Reviews

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 FCIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004-6

20 May 1974 Attachment 1

July	
1	OMB Planning Ceiling Issued
3-15	DCI Review of individual programs
18-19	ExCom Meetings
22	IRAC Meeting (1976 program presentations)
24-28	DCI Confers with SecDef
29	PDM Issued - ASD(I) (IC Staff Participation)
August	
15-30	Preparation of Separate Agency Budgets
October	
1	Budget Estimates Submission to OSD/OMB
15	Start Intelligence Budget Hearings with OMB
November	
5-6	ExCom Meetings
15	Complete Intelligence Budget Hearings
22	Distribution of Draft National Foreign Intelligence Program Recommendations
27	IRAC Meeting to Review NFIPR
29	DCI Confers with SecDef
December	
5	Submission of NFIPR to President

IRAC-M-7

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A00020013000416974

IRAC Information Working Group Attachment 2 Chairman's Oral Status Report - (Outline)

1. Review of Phase I Recommendations - (December 1973)

- * CY '74 CIRIS Data Call
 - * Establish IC Staff/DCI Needs
- * Interim Means for KIQ Evaluation
- * Longer Term Considerations
 - * Data Standards and Formats
 - * Use of Distributed Data Bases
 - * Examine Evaluation and Analysis Needs and Techniques

2. Environment Changes Since Phase I Completion

- * KIQ/KEP Requirements Published
- * Resource Package (CPRP) Sub-Committee Established
- * CIRIS '74 Data Call Issued
- * NSA Data Base Review Actions
 - * REACTS
 - * In-House Study

3. Current Status

Interfaces with Related Activities

- * IC Staff
 - * KEP Data Needs
 - * Other Data Base Usage
- * CPRP Sub-Committee
 - * Resource Allocation Evaluation Techniques
 - * Data Call Support Requirements
- * USIB/IHC Data Standards Review
 - * Identify Essential Resource Data Element Standards
- * Coordination of Current Data Base Development Activities
 - * IMIS
 - * REACTS/NSA In-House Data Base Evaluation

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000200130004a6/ 1974

Attachment 2

Revised Information Working Group Objectives/Tasking

- . Recommendations for '76 Data call
 - Modification, if any, due to KEP, CPRP
 Development or Changes in USIB Committee
 Needs
 - Evaluate CIRIS Usage for Potential Data Call Simplifications
- . Identify Essential Common Data Items for Standardization
 - . Timeliness Issue
 - . Targeting Issue
- 5. Schedule to Completion
 - . May June

Monitor & coordinate with:

KIQ-KEP Activities

CPRP Activities

NSA Data Base Review Activities

• July - August

Complete review of CIRIS '76 Data Call Considerations
Complete review of Resource Data Standards/Issues
Complete evaluation of longer term issues concerning use
of distributed community data bases, and related
evaluation activities

. September

Prepare Final Report